# BEFORE THE DIVISION OF MEDICAL QUALITY MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	on	)	
		)	
JESSE JOPLIN, M.D.		)	No. 07-93-25720
Certificate No. G-41971		)	
		)	
		)	
	Respondent	_)	

## **DECISION**

The attached Stipulation Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby adopted by the Division of Medical Quality as its Decision in the above-entitled matter.

This Decision shall become effective on **January 29**, 1997

IT IS OR ORDERED December 30, 1996

Bv:

IRA LUBELL, M.D.

Chair

Division of Medical Quality

1	DANIEL E. LUNGREN, Attorney General of the State of California				
2	LAWRENCE A. MERCER, Deputy Attorney General				
3	California Department of Justice 50 Fremont Street, Suite 300				
4	San Francisco, California 94105 Telephone: (415) 356-6259				
5	Attorneys for Complainant				
6					
7	BEFORE THE DIVISION OF MEDICAL QUALITY				
8	MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS				
9	STATE OF CALIFORNIA				
10					
11	In the Matter of the Accusation ) Case No. 07-93-25720 Against:				
12	JESSE JOPLIN, M.D.				
13	20361 Kilbride Court ) STIPULATED SETTLEMENT Saratoga, CA 95070 ) AND				
14	Physician and Surgeon License ) DISCIPLINARY ORDER				
15	No. G-41971				
15 16					
	No. G-41971 )				
16	No. G-41971 )				
16 17	No. G-41971 )  Respondent. )				
16 17 18	No. G-41971    Respondent.				
16 17 18 19	No. G-41971  Respondent.  IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between the parties to the above-entitled proceedings that the following				
16 17 18 19 20	No. G-41971  Respondent.  IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between the parties to the above-entitled proceedings that the following matters are true:				
16 17 18 19 20 21	No. G-41971  Respondent.  IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between the parties to the above-entitled proceedings that the following matters are true:  1. An Accusation in the above-entitled case was filed				
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Respondent.  IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between the parties to the above-entitled proceedings that the following matters are true:  1. An Accusation in the above-entitled case was filed with the Division of Medical Quality of the Medical Board of				
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Respondent.  IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between the parties to the above-entitled proceedings that the following matters are true:  1. An Accusation in the above-entitled case was filed with the Division of Medical Quality of the Medical Board of California, Department of Consumer Affairs (the "Division") on				
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Respondent.  IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between the parties to the above-entitled proceedings that the following matters are true:  1. An Accusation in the above-entitled case was filed with the Division of Medical Quality of the Medical Board of California, Department of Consumer Affairs (the "Division") on April 17, 1995, and is currently pending against Jesse Joplin,				

April 17, 1995, and respondent filed his Notice of Defense contesting the Accusation on or about 4/27/95. A copy of Accusation No.07-93-25720 is attached as Exhibit "A" and hereby incorporated by reference as if fully set forth.

- 3. The complainant, Dixon Arnett, was the Executive Director of the Medical Board of California. The complainant has now been succeeded by Ron Joseph. Said action is brought solely in their respective official capacities and not otherwise. The complainant was represented by the Attorney General of California, Daniel E. Lungren, by and through Deputy Attorney General Lawrence A. Mercer. The respondent was represented by the Law Offices of Marvin Firestone, M.D., J.D., Marvin Firestone and Robert Schur.
- 4. Respondent was issued physician's and surgeon's certificate no. G-41971 by the Board on June 11, 1980, and said certificate is current and valid. Respondent's certificate has not been previously disciplined.
- 5. The respondent and his attorney have fully discussed the charges contained in the Accusation and the respondent has been fully advised regarding his legal rights and the effects of this stipulation.
- 6. At all times relevant herein, respondent has been licensed by the Medical Board of California under physician's and surgeon's certificate No. G-41971. Respondent stipulates that the Board has jurisdiction in this disciplinary action.
- 7. Respondent understands the nature of the charges alleged in the Accusation and that, if proven at hearing, the

charges and allegations would constitute cause for imposing discipline upon him. Respondent is fully aware of his right to a hearing on the charges contained in the Accusation, his right to confront and cross-examine witnesses against him, his right to the use of subpoenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of documents in both defense and mitigation of the charges, his right to reconsideration, appeal and any and all other rights accorded by the California Administrative Procedure Act and other applicable laws. Respondent knowingly, voluntarily and irrevocably waives and gives up each of these rights.

2.7

- 8. Respondent admits that his care and treatment of patients Y.G. and M.M. as alleged in the Accusation constitutes grounds for discipline pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 2234(c). Respondent agrees to be bound by the Division's Disciplinary Order as set forth below.
- 9. The admissions made by respondent herein are for the purpose of this proceeding and any other proceedings in which the Division of Medical Quality, Medical Board of California, or other professional licensing agency is involved, and shall not be admissible in any other criminal or civil proceedings.
- 10. Based on the foregoing admissions and stipulated matters, the parties agree that the Division shall, without further notice or formal proceeding, issue and enter the following order:

#### DISCIPLINARY ORDER

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that physician's and surgeon's certificate number G-41971 issued to Jesse Joplin, M.D., is

revoked. However, the revocation is stayed and respondent is placed on probation for five (5) years on the following terms and conditions. Within 15 days after the effective date of this decision the respondent shall provide the Division, or its designee, proof of service that respondent has served a true copy of this decision on the Chief of Staff or the Chief Executive Officer at every hospital where privileges or membership are extended to respondent or where respondent is employed to practice medicine and on the Chief Executive Officer at every insurance carrier where malpractice insurance coverage is extended to respondent.

1

2

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

ORAL CLINICAL EXAMINATION Respondent shall take and 1. pass an oral clinical exam in the subjects of Obstetrics and Gynecology, with an emphasis on diagnosis and treatment of disease in pregnancy and assessment of gestational age. Respondent shall take and pass said examination within 90 days of the effective date of this decision. If respondent fails the first examination, respondent shall be allowed to take and pass a second examination, which may consist of a written as well as an oral examination. The waiting period between the first and second examinations shall be at least three (3) months. respondent fails to pass the first and second examinations, respondent may take a third and final examination after waiting a period of one year. Failure to pass the oral clinical examination within eighteen (18) months after the effective date of this decision shall constitute a violation of probation. respondent shall pay the costs of these examinations within

ninety (90) days of the administration of each exam.

If respondent fails to pass the first examination, respondent shall be suspended from the practice of medicine until a repeat examination has been successfully passed, as evidenced by written notice to respondent from the Division or its designee.

2. MONITORING Within thirty (30) days of the effective date of this decision, respondent shall submit to the Division or its designee for its prior approval a plan of practice in which respondent's practice shall be monitored by another physician in respondent's field of practice, who shall provide quarterly reports to the Division or its designee. The monitor shall be responsible for reviewing Dr. Joplin's cases for at least three years, continuing thereafter if recommended by the practice monitor and at the discretion of the Division. Any charges imposed by the monitor shall be paid by respondent.

If the monitor resigns or is no longer available, respondent shall, within fifteen (15) days, move to have a new monitor appointed, through nomination by respondent and approval by the Division or its designee.

3. EDUCATION COURSE Within ninety (90) days of the effective date of this decision, and on an annual basis thereafter, respondent shall submit to the Division or its designee for its prior approval an educational program or course which shall not be less than 40 hours per year, for each year of probation. This program shall be in addition to the Continuing Medical Education requirements for re-licensure. Following the

completion of each course, the Division or its designee may administer an examination to test respondent's knowledge of the course. Respondent shall provide proof of attendance for 65 hours of continuing medical education of which 40 hours were in satisfaction of this condition and were approved in advance by the Division or its designee.

4. <u>PSYCHIATRIC EXAMINATION</u> Within 30 days of the effective date of this decision, and on a periodic basis thereafter as may be required by the Division or its designee, respondent shall undergo a psychiatric evaluation (and psychological testing, if deemed necessary) by a Division-appointed psychiatrist, who shall furnish an evaluation report to the Division or its designee. Respondent shall pay the cost of the psychiatric evaluation.

Respondent shall not be required to undergo psychiatric evaluation if, within 30 days of the effective date of this decision, he provides the Division with records and/or reports from a licensed psychiatrist or psychologist certifying that respondent is capable of practicing medicine safely.

If respondent is required by the Division or its designee to undergo psychiatric treatment, respondent shall within 30 days of the requirement notice submit to the Division for its prior approval the name and qualifications of a psychiatrist of respondent's choice. Respondent shall undergo and continue psychiatric treatment until further notice from the Division or its designee. Respondent shall have the treating psychiatrist submit quarterly status reports to the Division or

its designee indicating whether the respondent is capable of practicing medicine safely.

5. <u>MEDICAL EVALUATION</u> Within 30 days of the effective date of this decision, and on a periodic basis thereafter as may be required by the Division or its designee, respondent shall undergo a medical evaluation by a Division-appointed physician who shall furnish a medical report to the Division or its designee.

Respondent shall not be required to undergo medical evaluation if, within 30 days of the effective date of this decision, respondent provides the Division with records and/or reports from a licensed physician indicating that respondent is capable of practicing medicine safely.

If respondent is required by the Division or its designee to undergo medical treatment, respondent shall, within 30 days of the requirement notice, submit to the Division or its designee for its prior approval the name and qualifications of a physician of respondent's choice. Upon approval of the treating physician, respondent shall undergo and continue medical treatment until further notice from the Division or its designee. Respondent shall have the treating physician submit quarterly reports to the Division or its designee indicating whether the respondent is capable of practicing medicine safely. The respondent shall pay the cost of the medical evaluation.

6. <u>MEDI-CAL PAYMENTS</u> Compelling circumstances exist that warrant continued Medi-Cal reimbursement during the probationary period.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that respondent comply with all of the standard terms of probation, as follows:

- 1. OBEY ALL LAWS Respondent shall obey all federal, state and local laws, all rules governing the practice of medicine in California, and remain in full compliance with any court ordered criminal probation, payments and other orders.
- 2. **QUARTERLY REPORTS** Respondent shall submit quarterly declarations under penalty of perjury on forms provided by the Division, stating whether there has been compliance with all the conditions of probation.
- 3. PROBATION SURVEILLANCE PROGRAM COMPLIANCE Respondent shall comply with the Division's probation surveillance program. Respondent shall, at all times, keep the Division informed of his addresses of business and residence which shall both serve as addresses of record. Changes of such addresses shall be immediately communicated in writing to the Division. Under no circumstances shall a post office box serve as an address of record.

Respondent shall also immediately inform the Division, in writing, of any travel to any areas outside the jurisdiction of California which lasts, or is contemplated to last, more than thirty (30) days.

## 4. <u>INTERVIEW WITH THE DIVISION, ITS DESIGNEE OR ITS</u>

<u>DESIGNATED PHYSICIAN(S)</u> Respondent shall appear in person for interviews with the Division, its designee or its designated physician(s) upon request at various intervals and with reasonable notice.

PRACTICE In the event respondent should leave California to reside or to practice outside the State or for any reason should respondent stop practicing medicine in California, respondent shall notify the Division or its designee in writing within ten (10) days of the dates of departure and return or the dates of non-practice within California. Non-practice is defined as any period of time exceeding thirty days in which respondent is not engaging in any activities defined in Sections 2051 and 2052 of the Business and Professions Code. All time spent in an intensive training program approved by the Division or its designee shall be considered as time spent in the practice of medicine. Periods of temporary or permanent residence or practice outside California or of non-practice within California, as defined in this condition, will not apply to the reduction of the probationary period.

- 6. <u>COMPLETION OF PROBATION</u> Upon successful completion of probation, respondent's certificate shall be fully restored.
- 7. VIOLATION OF PROBATION If respondent violates probation in any respect, the Division, after giving respondent notice and the opportunity to be heard, may revoke probation and carry out the disciplinary order that was stayed. If an accusation or petition to revoke probation is filed against respondent during probation, the Division shall have continuing jurisdiction until the matter is final, and the period of probation shall be extended until the matter is final.
  - 8. **COST RECOVERY** The respondent is hereby ordered to

reimburse the Division the amount of \$ 2,500.00, for its investigative and prosecution costs, the initial payment in the sum of \$1,000.00 and the balance in four annual installments, the first payment being made within 90 days of the effective date of this decision. Failure to reimburse the Division's cost of investigation and prosecution shall constitute a violation of the probation order, unless the Division agrees in writing to payment by an installment plan because of financial hardship. The filing of bankruptcy by the respondent shall not relieve the respondent of his responsibility to reimburse the Division for its investigative and prosecution costs.

- 9. PROBATION COSTS Respondent shall pay the costs associated with probation monitoring each and every year of probation, which costs are agreed to be set at \$1,500.00 for the first year of probation, and thereafter at \$1,000.00 per year. Such costs shall be payable to the Division of Medical Quality and delivered to the designated probation surveillance monitor at the beginning of each calendar year. Failure to pay costs within 30 days of the due date shall constitute a violation of probation.
- this decision, if respondent ceases practicing due to retirement, health reasons or is otherwise unable to satisfy the terms and conditions of probation, respondent may voluntarily tender his certificate to the Board. The Division reserves the right to evaluate the respondent's request and to exercise its discretion whether to grant the request, or to take any other action deemed

appropriate and reasonable under the circumstances. Upon formal acceptance of the tendered license, respondent will no longer be subject to the terms and conditions of probation.

#### **CONTINGENCY**

This stipulation shall be subject to the approval of the Division. Respondent understands and agrees that Board staff and counsel for complainant may communicate directly with the Division regarding this stipulation and settlement, without notice to or participation by respondent or his counsel. If the Division fails to adopt this stipulation as its Order, the stipulation shall be of no force or effect, it shall be inadmissible in any legal action between the parties, and the Division shall not be disqualified from further action in this matter by virtue of its consideration of this stipulation.

# **ENDORSEMENT**

The foregoing Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby respectfully submitted for the consideration of the Division of Medical Quality, Medical Board of California, Department of Consumer Affairs.

LUNGREN, Attorney General of California

LAMRENCE A.

Deputy Attorney General

Attorneys for Complainant

12.

I have read the above Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order and approve of it as to form and content. I have fully discussed the terms and conditions and other matters therein with respondent Jesse Joplin.

DATED: 11/21/96.

LAW OFFICES OF MARVIN FIRESTONE

ROBERT SCHUR, J.D

#### **ACCEPTANCE**

I have read the above Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order. I have fully discussed the terms and conditions and other matters contained therein with my attorney. I understand the effect this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order will have on my medical practice, and agree to be bound thereby. I enter this stipulation freely, knowingly, intelligently and voluntarily.

DATED: Nov 16, 96.

JESSE JOPLIN,

Joplin Hams

14.

.3

1 2 3 4	DANIEL E. LUNGREN, Attorney General of the State of California LAWRENCE A. MERCER Deputy Attorney General 455 Golden Gate Avenue, Room 6200 San Francisco, California 94102-3658 Telephone: (415) 703-2990
5	Attorneys for Complainant
6	
7	BEFORE THE DIVISION OF MEDICAL QUALITY
8	MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA STATE OF CALIFORNIA
9	STATE OF CALIFORNIA
10	
11	In the Matter of the Accusation ) No. 07-93-25720 Against:
12	ACCUSATION
13	JESSE JOPLIN, M.D. 20361 Kilbride Court
14	Saratoga, CA 95070
15	Physician's & Surgeon's License > No. G-041971
16	Respondent.
17	
18	DIXON ARNETT, complainant herein, charges and alleges as follows:
19	PARTIES
20	1. He is the Executive Director of the Medical Board of California,
21	State of California (hereinafter "the Board") and makes these charges and allegations
22	solely in his official capacity.
23	<u>LICENSE STATUS</u>
24	2. At all times material herein, respondent JESSE JOPLIN, M.D.
25	(hereinafter "respondent") has held physician's and surgeon's certificate No. G-041971,
26	which was issued to him by the Board on or about June 11, 1980. Said certificate is in
27	

1	good standing at the present time. No prior disciplinary action has been taken against
2	said certificate. Respondent is not a supervisor of a physician assistant.
3	<u>STATUTES</u>
4	3. Section 2001 of the Business and Professions Code (hereinafter
5	referred to as the "code") provides for the existence of the Board.
6	4. Section 2003 provides for the existence of the Division of Medical
7	Quality (hereinafter referred to as the "Division") within the Board.
8	5. Section 2004 provides, inter alia, that the Division is responsible
9	for the administration and hearing of disciplinary actions involving enforcement of the
10	Medical Practice Act (§ 2000, et seq.) and the carrying out of disciplinary action
11	appropriate to findings made by a medical quality review committee, the division, or an
12	administrative law judge with respect to the quality of medical practice carried out by
13	physician & surgeon certificate holders.
14	6. Sections 2220, 2227 and 2234 together provide that the Division
15	shall take disciplinary action against the holder of a physician's and surgeon's certificate
16	who is guilty of unprofessional conduct.
17	7. Section 2234 provides, in part, as follows:
18	"The Division of Medical Quality shall take action against any licensee who is charged with unprofessional conduct. In
19	addition to other provisions of this article, unprofessional conduct includes, but is not limited to the following:
20	"(a) Violating or attempting to violate, directly, or assisting
21	in or abetting the violation of, or conspiring to violate, any provision of this chapter.
22	"(b) Gross negligence.
23	"(c) Repeated negligent acts.
24	"(d) Incompetence."
25	
26	
27	All statutory references are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.

- 8. Section 2253 provides that the procuring or aiding or abetting or attempting or agreeing or offering to procure an illegal abortion constitutes unprofessional conduct unless such act is done in compliance with the provisions of the Therapeutic Abortion Act, Chapter 11, commencing with section 25950 of Division 20 of the Health and Safety Code.
- 9. Health and Safety Code section 25953 proscribes all abortions after the 20th week of pregnancy.
- 10. Section 125.3 provides that a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of a licensing act may be required to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of investigation and enforcement of the case. The costs shall include the amount of investigative and enforcement costs up to the date of the hearing including, but not limited to, charges imposed by the Attorney General.

## FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINARY ACTION

## 11. Patient Y.G.<sup>2/</sup>

- A. At all times mentioned hereinafter, respondent practiced as a physician in California with a specialization in obstetrics and gynecology.
- B. Patient Y.G. was a 26-year-old female, gravida 2 paragravida 2, Y.G. had been under respondent's care since October 21, 1983, for routine gynecological care as well as obstetric care for both of her pregnancies.
- C. In and after August 1989, Y.G. was under respondent's care for her second pregnancy. Y.G. began said prenatal care with respondent at the Kaiser Permanente facility in Santa Theresa when she was seven weeks pregnant.
  - D. Y.G.'s estimated date of confinement (due date) was April 8, 1990.

<sup>2.</sup> Names of patients have been withheld to protect privacy, but will be supplied pursuant to discovery request.

- E. Y.G. had a normal prenatal course until on or about March 28, 1990. At that time, Y.G. presented for examination by respondent with blood pressure of 132/86 and 2+ proteinuria. Respondent noted positive fetal movement and findings on cervical examination were reported by him to be 1 centimeter dilated and 25% effaced. Y.G. was told by respondent to return for examination in one week.
- F. On April 5, 1990, Y.G. presented for examination by respondent. Her blood pressure was 146/88 and test results again demonstrated 2+ proteinuria. Respondent noted positive fetal movement and findings on cervical examination were reported by him to be 2-3 centimeters dilated and 50% effaced.
- G. Despite elevated blood pressure, proteinuria and other findings on examination, respondent did not consider and/or did not chart the possibility of preeclampsia, did not consider and/or did not chart the potential for early induction of labor in Y.G. and did not conduct appropriate patient surveillance.
  - H. Respondent requested that Y.G. return in one week.
- I. Four days later, on April 9, 1990, Y.G. presented to the Emergency Room at South Valley Hospital with complaints of severe acute low back pain. Physical examination at that time revealed blood pressure of 240/120 and Y.G. was diagnosed with toxemia. Emergent medical measures were taken. After delivering a viable male infant, Y.G. died on April 10, 1990.
- 12. Respondent has subjected his license to disciplinary action under California Business and Professions Code sections 2220, 2227, and 2234 on the grounds of unprofessional conduct, as defined by section 2234 (b) and/or (d), in that he is guilty of gross negligence and/or incompetence in the practice of his profession as set forth in paragraph 11 above.

#### SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINARY ACTION

### 13. Patient M.M.

- A. At all times mentioned hereinafter, respondent practiced as a physician in California with a specialization in obstetrics and gynecology.
- B. On July 17, 1993, patient M.M. presented to respondent for examination at the Planned Parenthood Clinic in Seaside, California. M.M. was a 15-year-old Spanish-speaking female, gravida 2, paragravida 1. At that time, M.M.'s chart indicates a history of last menstrual period on or about February 15, 1993, while using oral contraceptives, and that she continued to use oral contraceptives until May 1993. Respondent recorded in the chart that the patient was 9 and 1/2 weeks pregnant. Respondent performed a pelvic examination at that time and recorded that the uterus was soft and felt approximately 11-12 weeks size. The patient was noted to be tense during the examination.
- C. On July 17, 1993, respondent undertook to perform an abortion by dilatation and curretage. During the procedure, the cervic dilated to 27 milimeters and initially a #10 suction cannula was used, but was replaced with a #12 cannula due to reported difficulty in tissue removal. Tissue was examined by respondent and was found to consist mainly of placenta and not of fetus. The procedure was interrupted and respondent caused 10 units of pitocin to be administered intramuscularly as well as 5 mg. valium. The patient was examined by respondent approximately 15 minutes later and examination at that time demonstrated M.M. to be of "20+" weeks of gestation.
- D. Respondent ordered M.M. transferred to Natividad Medical Center, where ultrasound demonstrated the fetus to be 27 weeks. Labor was induced and the female stillborn was taken for evaluation by the County Coroner.
- E. At all relevant times, respondent knew, or in the exercise of reasonable care should have known, that M.M.'s fetus was 27 weeks old and viable.

- F. Autopsy examination of M.M.'s stillborn child revealed an 875 gram female with no gross abnormalities. The placenta was noted to have an area of laceration and hemorrhage at one margin consistent with attempt at suction aspiration. The cause of death was concluded to be placental abruptio secondary to attempted dilatation and curretage.
- 14. Respondent has subjected his license to disciplinary action under California Business and Professions Code sections 2220, 2227, and 2234 on the grounds of unprofessional conduct, as defined by section 2234 (b) and/or (d), in that he is guilty of gross negligence and/or incompetence in the practice of his profession as set forth in paragraph 13 above.

### THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINARY ACTION

- 15. The allegations of the Second Cause for Disciplinary Action are incorporated herein as though fully set forth.
- 16. In performing the acts set forth in the Second Cause for Disciplinary Action, respondent terminated the patient's pregnancy at or about the 27th week of gestation. At the time that the pregnancy was terminated, the fetus weighed 875 grams and was viable.
- 17. Respondent has subjected his license to disciplinary action pursuant to California Business and Professions Code sections 2220, 2227, 2234, and 2253 on the grounds of unprofessional conduct in that respondent violated section 2253 relating to criminal abortions by performing or attempting to perform an abortion not in compliance with the Therapeutic Abortions Act, Health and Safety Code section 25953.

# FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINARY ACTION

- 18. The allegations of the First and Second Causes for Disciplinary Action are incorporated herein as though fully set forth.
- 19. Respondent has subjected his license to disciplinary action under California Business and Professions Code sections 2220, 2227, and 2234 (c) on the

1	grounds of unprofessional conduct in that he is guilty of repeated negligent acts in the
2	practice of his profession, in that respondent's conduct as set forth above was part of a
3	series of negligent acts which occurred during respondent's care and treatment of his
4	patients.
5	<u>COST RECOVERY</u>
6	20. Business and Professions Code section 125.3 provides that a
7	licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of a licensing act may be
8	required to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of investigation and
9	enforcement of the case. The costs shall include the amount of investigative and
10	enforcement costs up to the date of the hearing including, but not limited to, charges
11	imposed by the Attorney General.
12	WHEREFORE, complainant requests that a hearing be held and that
13	thereafter the Board issue an order:
14	1. Revoking or suspending respondent's physician and surgeon's
15	certificate number A-37042;
16	2. Prohibiting respondent from supervision of physician assistants;
17	3. Allowing recovery of costs of investigation and enforcement;
18	and
19	4. Taking such other and further action as is deemed just and proper.
20	DATED: April 17, 1995
21	Un Cruet
22	DIXON ARNETT Executive Director
23	Medical Board of California State of California
24	Complainant
25	03573160SF94AD1035
26	